RESPONSES .. PRELIMINARY QUESTIONS SUBMITTED (11/24/52)

Q. Are you still interested in assisting us in this work if we undertake operations of an experimental nature in the United States?

R. Yes.

Q. Would you be willing to join us in these experiments?

R. Yes.

Q. Could you conduct personal and private experiments that you know would be of interest to us in and around [redacted] with your own people and under no circumstances expose any of our interests?

R. Yes.

Q. Have you encountered any clinical use of the technique (narco-analysis) of interest to us in our work?

R. None, further than already discussed with you. That is, A. or P. used in ascending procedure, believed to be the most efficient developed so far.

Q. Could you find out for us what the best medical people in [redacted] are using as the chemical in narco-analysis?

R. The response to the foregoing question is also applicable to this question. However, this matter is still under investigation and observation, and any new developments will always be promptly submitted to you.

Q. Could you find out who the best men are in the field in [redacted]

R. Yes. This matter is still under investigation and will be discussed later.

Q. Are any psychiatrists or other doctors working at [redacted] trying any experimental techniques of any type that might be of interest to us?

R. I was responsible for the H. technique during the time I was associated with [redacted] I know of none used there or in other departments of [redacted] generally, that we do not already know about. Of course, there is always the possibility of secret experiment in the psychiatric department. However, this will be followed up from time to time there and also at other points of interest. Any new developments coming under my observation will always be promptly submitted.

Q. Do you feel that there is any other professional H. specialist in the U. S. with a college or a pure professional who could be of value to us?

R. It would be difficult for me to put a stamp of approval on any such person at this time for various reasons. Principally, a so-called laboratory experimenter or a vaudeville or carnival professional would be useless to you for obvious reasons. The first is limited in scope and the second not at all suited for the work. Further, so long as you are trying to set up more or less standard procedures in a highly specialized field the screening is important and the training of personnel must be uniform. In other words, I am of the opinion that a new and properly trained crew using uniform methods, peculiarly suited to your work, under the observation and guidance of a competent and experienced supervising operator will far better suit your purpose than any miscellaneous crew of various personalities using diverse methods. The screening and training procedures and program recommended would not present half the problems and could be accomplished in a relatively short period of time.

x x x x x x x x